704. The queries of the Protestants of Limerick. Answers thereto.

"1. Touching such Irish or others as were upon watch and ward at or near Limerick, during the siege there, it is hereby declared that such are understood to be persons in arms, and consequently liable to transplantation into Connaught and Clare.

"2. Concerning such Irish as were forced or pressed thither, they are likewise understood to have been persons in arms and thereby liable to transplant as aforesaid.

"3. Concerning Pedees and garcones[1] they are likewise understood to be transplantable persons and are to conform to the rule accordingly.

"4. Concerning mortgagers, mortgagees and the eldest sons of such Irish though not in arms, it is declared that all such persons are deemed proprietors, and thereby become liable to transplant as aforesaid. But, as concerning the other sons that live not with their parents they are not reputed or taken to be proprietors upon that account, and consequently not liable to the rules for transplantation. . . ."

And finally concerning train-bandmen, auxiliaries and persons appearing at rendezvous, the answer referred to the Committee is that they ought to be accounted men in arms.

We do agree with the said report and accordingly order that such persons as fall under any of the said considerations do transplant. 14 July. *Ib.* ff. 195-6.

705. In answer to the subject of No. 703.

". . . There not yet appearing any new ground or sufficient reason to induce them to believe that there is of lands forfeited sufficient to give full satisfaction for the whole arrears, and upon serious debate had of so weighty a business they [the Lord Deputy and Council] do not judge it safe to recede from the Order of 23rd May[2] last past concerning the present putting the army into possession of lands for and towards the satisfying of two-thirds of their arrears." 16 July. *Ib.* f. 193.

706. Ordered that persons desiring leases of Bishops' lands or

[1] *I.e.* Paddies and gossoons, meaning a nondescript sort of labourers.
[2] Printed in *Hist. of the Down Survey*, pp. 64-65.